UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,357	04/19/2006	Anton Bleikholm	5829	9481
	7590 10/03/200 <sup>o</sup> AND MATTARE, LT	EXAMINER		
10 POST OFFICE ROAD - SUITE 110			GRABOWSKI, KYLE ROBERT ,	
SILVER SPRING, MD 20910			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3709	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
, ,	10/576,357	BLEIKHOLM ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kyle R. Grabowski	3709		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final.  ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 16-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrate 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 16-27 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>04/19/06</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/19/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/576,357

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters 1,2,3, has been used to designate several different embodiments of the "constituting parts" in Figures 1-5. The drawings are also objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: O and H. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3709

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 16-22, and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Plaschka et al. (US 7,040,663 B1). In respect to claims 16-22, and 24, Plaschka et al. discloses a document of value such as a paper of value or ID card (Abstract) comprising: a first constituting part, substrate 1, and a second constituting part, security thread 2 (Fig. 1); substrate 1 includes a security element "print 4 produced with an inventive printing ink" (Col. 5, Lns 23-24) which "contains an optically variable material for example liquid-crystal pigments or interference layer pigments, as well as at least one machine-readable feature substance" (Col. 5, Lns 31-34). In one embodiment, "security thread 20 that, as already shown in FIG. 1, can be embedded in the bank note as a window security thread" (Col. 5, Lns 57-59), contains a optically variable layer 7 containing feature substance 8, (Fig. 2), which "may be executed as a printed layer" (Col. 5, Lns 62-63). Both security elements on each constituting part may contain identical materials as evidenced above. Plaschka et al. also disclose covert infrared properties in the optically variable layers in which "the spectral response in the visible and infrared wave ranges can be evaluated to detect the optically variable material during machine testing" (Col. 3, Lns 52-54)

In respect to claims 25-27, Plaschka et al. discloses all of the claimed subject matter for the reasons stated above including, inherently disclosing applying each security element having the same identifying properties, naturally allowing a comparison in their properties as a third feature.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,357

Art Unit: 3709

Page 4

4. Claims 16-22, and 24-27 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Plaschka et al. (WO00/50249). Plaschka et al (249) discloses all of the claimed subject matter for the reasons stated above. It is assumed that the publication WO00/50249 dated August 31, 2000, is substantially similar to the US 7,040,663 B1 patent and similarly anticipates claims 16-22 and 24-27.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plaschka et al. (US 7,040,663 B1) in view of Norman (US 5,478,629). Plaschka et al.

Art Unit: 3709

discloses substantially all of the claimed subject matter except alternating polymer and coating layers comprising the substrate. Norman discloses an alternating polymer/coating multilayer sheet 10, comprising thick polymeric core layer 12 with laminate coatings 14 on each side (Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the security substrate taught in Plaschka et al. with an alternating coating-polymer-coating structure in view of Norman to provide high flexural durability to the ID card or another document of value.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kyle R. Grabowski whose telephone number is (571) 270-3518. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday and every other Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrence R. Till can be reached at (571) 272-1280.

KG

KG

Supervisory Patent Examiner